IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

Writ Petition No.22871 of 1999

–

Dated: October 19, 2006

–

–

Between:

K. Srinivasa Rao, S/o. K. Danunjaya Rao, Aged about 29 years, R/o. Mavudi village, Makkuva Mandal, Vizianagaram District.

… Petitioner

And

R.C.M. Aided Elementary School, represented by its Rev K.Mariadoss Bishop, Mavudi village, Makkuva Mandal, Vizianagaram District, and others.

… Respondents

Order:

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking Mandamus to direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner in any suitable post on compassionate grounds in the first respondent-school.

2. The case of the petitioner is that his father Mr. Dhanunjaya Rao, while working as teacher in the first respondent school died on 01-12-1998 while in service. The petitioner is said to have made

representation dated 30-10-1999 requesting to appoint him on compassionate grounds in any suitable post. He filed this Writ Petition alleging inaction on the part of the respondents in considering his case for appointment on compassionate grounds.

3. This court by order dated 30-12-1999, passed in W.P.M.P.No.28671 of 1999 directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate grounds in any suitable post.

4. The first respondent has filed counter affidavit stating that the petitioner has made an application dated 30-10-1999 to consider his case for appointment on compassionate grounds and on the same day, he had filed this Writ Petition questioning its action in not considering his case. It is stated that petitioner has not filed legal heir certificate evidencing that he is the son of K. Dhanunjaya Rao, the deceased employee. It is also stated that the brother of the petitioner is in Government service.

5. Since no order has yet been passed on the representation of the petitioner as alleged by the petitioner, in the light of the interim order dated 3012-1999 passed in W.P.M.P.No.28671 of 1999, I dispose of the Writ Petition directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment in any suitable post on compassionate grounds as per the scheme for extending the benefit of appointment on compassionate grounds. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY.

October 19, 2006
MRR